1 2 3 4 5	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California ELENA L. ALMANZO, State Bar No. 131058 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5524		
6	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CINE		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2002-22	
12	JOHN K. JUDD JR.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
13	Respondent.	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14			
15			
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the		
17	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
18			
19	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the California		
20	Board of Accountancy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented		
21	in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Elena L. Almanzo,		
22	Deputy Attorney General.		
23	2. John K. Judd Jr. (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding		
24	and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.		
25	3. On or about July 25, 1980, the California Board of Accountancy issued		
26	Certificate Number CPA 30137 to John K. Judd Jr. (Respondent). Said license expired on		
27	November 30, 2001 and has not been renewed.		
28	//		
	i de la companya de		

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. AC-2002-22 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 25, 2002. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2002-22 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2002-22. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the allegations and charges set forth in paragraphs 1 through 9 in Accusation No. AC-2002-22. Respondent further agrees and admits that the circumstances of the conviction as set forth in the indictment and in paragraph 10 of Accusation No. AC-2002-22 constitutes the factual basis for the conviction and the factual basis for discipline before the Board of Accountancy.
- 9. Respondent neither admits nor denies paragraph 11 of the accusation and respondent asserts by way of mitigation that his attorney advised him that his conviction was not

final until the judge accepted the jury's verdict at the time of sentencing. Respondent's sentencing occurred on March 26, 2001, the date on the letter respondent sent to the Board notifying them of his conviction.

10. Respondent agrees that his Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the California Board of Accountancy (Board) 's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the California Board of Accountancy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- Accountancy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certificate Number CPA 30137 issued to Respondent John K. Judd Jr. is revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that should respondent should respondent reapply or petition for the reinstatement of his license, he will be required to pay the Board its costs in the amount of \$4,836.23.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.

DATED: March 28, 2003.

John K. Juddy JOHN K. JUDD JR,

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Docket Number: 03541110-A2002 D0799

BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2002-22			
JOHN K. JUDD JR.	OAH No.			
Respondent.				
DECISION AND	ORDER			
The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by				
the California Board of Accountancy, Department of	f Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this			
matter.				
This Decision shall become effective onJune_21, 2003				
It is so ORDERED May 22, 2003				
FOR THE CALIFORNIA BO DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	LL LARD OF ACCOUNTANCY MER AFFAIRS			

Exhibit A Accusation No. AC-2002-22

	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California ELENA L. ALMANZO, State Bar No. 131058 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5524 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
1	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. AC-2002-22	
13	25988 Cherryhill Dr.	
14		
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about July 25, 1980, the California Board of Accountancy issued	
23	Certificate Number CPA 30137 to John Judd Jr., C.P.A. (Respondent). The Certificate expired	
24	on November 30, 2001, and has not been renewed.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy	
27	(Board). under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code	
28	(Code).	
- 11		

4. Section 118 of the Code states in pertinent part:

- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
- "(c) As used in this section, 'board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'registration,' and 'permit.'"
 - 5. Section 5100 of the Code states in pertinent part:

After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- (a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant.
- 6. Section 5063 of the Code requires a licensee to report in writing to the Board within 30 days all felony convictions and convictions of any crime: related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or committed in the course and scope of practice of public accountancy: or involving theft, embezzlement, misappropriation of funds or property, breach of a fiduciary responsibility, or the preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports or information.

7. Section 5106 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

Section 5107 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Executive Officer of the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 5100 (a) to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(a) in that he was convicted of crimes which are substantially related to the practice of accountancy. The circumstances are as follows:
- On or about October 5, 2000, respondent was convicted in <u>United States of America</u> v. <u>Mark D. Seigel, Alexander L. Kahn, John K. Judd Jr., and Betty Ann Ruben,</u>
 United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 99-CR-507-3, following a jury trial by a finding of guilty of one count of Conspiracy to commit money laundering (18 U.S.C.§371, §1956 (h)), a Class D Felony; eight counts of Aiding and Abetting Securities Fraud (15 U.S.C.§78j(b), §78ff, 17 CFR §240.10b-5, 18 U.S.C.§2), Class C Felonies; nine counts of Aiding and Abetting Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. §1341, §2), Class D Felonies, and twenty-two counts of Aiding and Abetting Money Laundering (18 U.S.C.§81956 (a)(1) (A)(i)

and (B) (i) and §2). Class C Felonies.

The circumstances follow:

In February 1993, respondent became the President and owner of KS Resources. KS Resources' business was the issuance of oil and gas well securities. KS Resources raised over \$40 million through the sale of partnership interests to approximately 1,500 investors. Respondent among other things, in order to induce potential investors to invest in RS Resources limited partnerships, or to encourage reinvestment, made false representations by informing investors that they would receive a return on their investment from the sale of oil or gas from partnership properties, when in truth and in fact, a significant portion of the returns actually paid to investors were funds derived from investments by other investors and not from the sale of oil or gas. Respondent arbitrarily determined the return to be paid to the victim investors.

Respondent knowingly and willfully, directly and indirectly, (1) employed a scheme to defraud, (2) obtained money by means of untrue statements and omissions of material facts, and (3) engaged in acts, practices and courses of business that operated as a fraud and deceit, through the use of means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the United States mails, in connection with the sale of securities.

Respondent knowingly devised and participated in a scheme to defraud and obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, and for the purpose of executing the scheme and attempting to do so, knowingly using or causing to be used the United States mails or any private or commercial interstate carrier.

Respondent knowing that property involved in certain financial transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, conducted and attempted to conduct such financial transactions affecting interstate commerce, which transactions in fact involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity namely fraud in the sale of securities and mail fraud (1) with the intent to promote the carrying on of such specified unlawful activity and (2) knowing that the transactions were designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature. location, source, ownership and control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to timely report conviction)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5063 in that he failed to report his conviction of crimes which are felonies within thirty days. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about October 5, 2000, in <u>United States of America v. Mark D. Seigel</u>, <u>Alexander L. Kahn, John K. Judd Jr., and Betty Ann Ruben</u>, United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 99-CR-507-3, respondent was convicted of the crimes set forth above in paragraph 10. Said crimes are felonies and are related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public account or public accountant. Respondent first notified the Board of his convictions in a letter dated March 26, 2001.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certificate Number CPA 30137, issued to John Judd Jr., C.P.A.:
 - 2. Awarding the Board costs as provided by statute; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems proper.

DATED: 10/18/02

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

03541110SAD0799

 \parallel